



# Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2023 - 2024 School Report



**Johnson County  
Johnson County Elementary School**

## 1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

### 1.1 Identification of Team

The comprehensive needs assessment team consists of people who are responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. A required team member's name may be duplicated when multiple roles are performed by the same person. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the [Planning and Preparation webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Leadership Team

	Position/Role	Name
Team Member # 1	Principal	Charles Howard
Team Member # 2	Assistant Principal	Beth Martin
Team Member # 3	Instructional Coaches	Heather Hightower, Kelli Rowland
Team Member # 4	Associate Superintendent of Technology, Facilities, Transportation, and Student Information	Charlie Lindsey
Team Member # 5	Associate Superintendent of Federal Programs	Tecia McKay
Team Member # 6	Media Specialist	Jonathan Morris
Team Member # 7	Grade Level Lead Teachers (PK-5)	H.Foskey, T. Copeland, K.Farmer, C.Parrish, S.Taylor, R.Winfrey, B.Rowland

#### Additional Leadership Team

	Position/Role	Name
Team Member # 1	Parent Liaison	JJ Rowland
Team Member # 2	MTSS Coordinator	MTSS Coordinator
Team Member # 3	Paraprofessional	Kristen Scott
Team Member # 4	Special Education Lead Teacher	Tricia Jackson
Team Member # 5		
Team Member # 6		
Team Member # 7		
Team Member # 8		
Team Member # 9		
Team Member # 10		

# 1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

## 1.2 Identification of Stakeholders

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspective who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Required stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet the requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the [Planning and Preparation webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

### Stakeholders

	Position/Role	Name
Stakeholder # 1	Family Connections Coordinator	Kristie Brantley
Stakeholder # 2	School Nurse	Cathy Powell
Stakeholder # 3	School Resource Officer	Andrea Jackson
Stakeholder # 4	School Counselor	Teresa Snead
Stakeholder # 5	Health Care Providers	Community Health
Stakeholder # 6		
Stakeholder # 7		
Stakeholder # 8		

How will the team ensure that stakeholders, and in particular parents and/or guardians, were able to provide meaningful input into the needs assessment process?	The stakeholders are encouraged to provide feedback throughout the needs assessment process by invitation and attendance in planning meetings, surveys, and communication with team members.
--	--

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.1 Coherent Instructional System

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of instructional needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Coherent Instructional System webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Curriculum Standard 1</b> -Uses systematic, collaborative planning processes so that teachers share an understanding of expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	A systematic, collaborative process is used proactively for curriculum planning.  Nearly all teachers or groups of teachers, support staff, and leaders within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	A systematic, collaborative process is used regularly for curriculum planning.  Most teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	A collaborative process is used occasionally for curriculum planning.  Some teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A collaborative process is rarely, if ever, used for curriculum planning.  Few, if any, teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.	

## Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Curriculum Standard 2</b> -Designs curriculum documents and aligns resources with the intended rigor of the required standards		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope, and sequence documents, guides) that are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards are the products of a systematic, collaborative process.  These curriculum documents and resources are used and continuously revised by teachers and support staff to ensure an alignment with the intended, taught, and tested standards.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope and sequence documents, guides) have been designed, and resources are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards.  These curriculum documents and resources guide the work of teachers and instructional support staff.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Curriculum documents and resources exist, but they are not complete in all content areas or grade levels or lack the intended rigor of the required standards.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, curriculum documents and resources exist to support the implementation of the intended rigor of the required standards.	

<b>Instruction Standard 1</b> -Provides a supportive and well -managed environment conducive to learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.  Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms.	

## Coherent Instruction Data

Instruction Standard 2 -Creates an academically challenging learning environment		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking.	
2. Operational	Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).	✓
3. Emerging	Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	

Instruction Standard 3 -Establishes and communicates clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to curriculum standards		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. Articulation of the learning targets is consistent and pervasive among like content areas and grade levels.	
2. Operational	Most teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.  Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work.	✓
3. Emerging	Some teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any teachers establish clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.	

Instruction Standard 4 -Uses research based instructional practices that positively impact student learning		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all teachers pervasively demonstrate a repertoire of highly effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching).	
2. Operational	Most teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching).	✓
3. Emerging	Some teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.	

## Coherent Instruction Data

Instruction Standard 5 -Differentiates instruction to meet specific learning needs of students		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Nearly all teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.</p> <p>Nearly all teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).</p> <p>Remediation, enrichment, and acceleration are pervasive practices.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Most teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.</p> <p>Most teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.	

Instruction Standard 6 -Uses appropriate, current technology to enhance learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The use by staff members and students of appropriate, current technology to enhance learning is an institutional practice (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving).	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most staff members and students use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving).	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some staff members, students, or both use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.	

## Coherent Instruction Data

Instruction Standard 7 -Provides feedback to students on their performance on the standards or learning targets		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.  Nearly all teachers systematically elicit diagnostic information from individual students regarding their understanding of the standards or learning targets.	
2. Operational	Most teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.	✓
3. Emerging	Some teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, descriptive feedback on their performance.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with feedback on their performance, or the feedback that is provided is not specific, timely, or understandable.	

Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection.	
2. Operational	Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.	✓
3. Emerging	Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	

Instruction Standard 9 -Provides timely, systematic, data -driven interventions		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs.  Interventions are designed to meet the needs of each student. The effectiveness of those interventions is consistently monitored and adjustments are made.	
2. Operational	Most students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs.	
3. Emerging	Some students are provided extra assistance or needed support in a timely manner.	✓
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, students are provided extra assistance or effective support in a timely manner.	



## Coherent Instruction Data

Assessment Standard 1 -Aligns assessments with the required curriculum standards		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. Assessments are reviewed during the school year to ensure alignment.	
2. Operational	Most assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.	✓
3. Emerging	Some assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.	

Assessment Standard 3 -Uses common assessments aligned with the required standards to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices		
1. Exemplary	Teachers consistently use common assessments aligned with the required standards in nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both for diagnostic, summative, and formative purposes.  The data from the common assessments are analyzed down to the item level, and the results are used to inform instruction and improve teacher practices.	
2. Operational	Teachers use common assessments aligned with the required standards in most content areas to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices.	✓
3. Emerging	Teachers use some common assessments aligned with the required standards in a few content areas with a limited amount of data analysis to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices.	
4. Not Evident	Teachers use few, if any, common assessments to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices.	

Assessment Standard 4 -Implements a process to collaboratively analyze assessment results to adjust instruction		
1. Exemplary	Teachers extensively use a systematic, collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is consistently adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results across all content areas, grade levels, or both.	
2. Operational	Teachers regularly use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is routinely adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.	✓
3. Emerging	Teachers occasionally use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is sometimes adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.	
4. Not Evident	A collaborative process to analyze assessment results does not exist. Instruction is rarely, if ever, adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.	

## Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Assessment Standard 5</b> -Implements grading practices that provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The grading practices used by teachers across nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both, consistently provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The grading practices used by teachers in most content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The grading practices used by teachers in some content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The grading practices used by teachers rarely, if ever, provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.	

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.2 Effective Leadership

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of leadership needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Effective Leadership webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Effective Leadership Data

Leadership Standard 1 -Builds and sustains relationships to foster the success of students and staff		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Administrators consistently build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff.  The school staff is fully engaged in relationship building through collaboration, internal and external communication, and building trust with staff, students, families, and community stakeholders.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Administrators regularly build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Administrators sometimes build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Administrators seldom, if ever, build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.	

Leadership Standard 2 -Initiates and manages change to improve staff performance and student learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning.  Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders create a sense of urgency for change and effectively communicate a common vision.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Administrators and the school leadership team initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning.  The principal provides an appropriate balance of pressure and support to manage the change process for desired results.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Administrators initiate change to improve staff performance and student learning but do not sustain the change, remove barriers, or both.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Administrators initiate few, if any, changes that impact staff performance and student learning.	

## Effective Leadership Data

**Leadership Standard 3** -Uses systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices

<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The principal and other school leaders continually use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.  The principal and other school leaders have a comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the best practices for curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The principal and other school leaders often use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The principal and other school leaders occasionally use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The principal and other school leaders rarely, if ever, use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.	

**Leadership Standard 4** -Uses processes to systematically analyze data to improve student achievement

<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Extensive, comprehensive processes, including root cause analysis, are used consistently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Numerous processes are used frequently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some processes are in place and used occasionally to analyze data to improve student achievement.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, processes are in place to analyze data to improve student achievement.	

**Leadership Standard 5** -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving

<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.  Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving.	

## Effective Leadership Data

<b>Leadership Standard 6</b> -Establishes and supports a data-driven school leadership team that is focused on student learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A highly effective, proactive, and data-driven school leadership team is focused on student learning.</p> <p>The leadership team addresses nearly all areas of student and staff learning and school leadership, including the development, implementation, and regular monitoring of the school improvement plan.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>A data-driven school leadership team is established with stakeholder representation (e.g., core and non-core teachers, certified support staff) and is focused on student learning.</p> <p>The school leadership team meets regularly and uses norms and protocols to work effectively and efficiently.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The school leadership team is established and has some stakeholder representation but is focused chiefly on school operations rather than student learning.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A school leadership team does not exist or does not have adequate stakeholder representation.	

<b>Leadership Standard 7</b> -Monitors and evaluates the performance of teachers and other staff using multiple data sources		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff through observations, surveys, data, and documentation is consistent and comprehensive, resulting in highly accurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>A comprehensive system is in place to provide teachers and staff with ongoing, accurate, timely, detailed, descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p> <p>Administrators use the evaluation process to identify role models, teacher leaders, or both.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff regularly occurs using data or documentation, generally resulting in accurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive accurate, timely, descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff is inconsistent, incomplete, or lacks data or documentation, sometimes resulting in inaccurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive some descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff rarely occurs or often results in inaccurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive little or no descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>	

## Effective Leadership Data

Leadership Standard 8 -Provides ongoing support to teachers and other staff		
1. Exemplary	A comprehensive support system that is timely and targeted to individual needs is provided to teachers and other staff.	
2. Operational	Most support provided to teachers and other staff is targeted to individual needs.	✓
3. Emerging	Some support provided to teachers and staff is targeted to individual needs.	
4. Not Evident	Support to teachers and staff does not exist or is not targeted to individual needs.	

Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process		
1. Exemplary	<p>A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.</p> <p>The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.</p>	
2. Operational	<p>A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.</p> <p>The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.</p>	✓
3. Emerging	A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process.	
4. Not Evident	A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.	

## Effective Leadership Data

<b>Planning and Organization Standard 2</b> -Uses a data-driven and consensus-oriented process to develop and implement a school improvement plan that is focused on student performance		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a strong focus on increasing student performance.</p> <p>This process and plan consistently guide the work of the school staff.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from most plan stakeholders.</p> <p>The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a focus on increasing student performance.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>A school improvement plan has been developed with input from some stakeholders.</p> <p>The school improvement plan is based on incomplete data analysis with limited focus on student performance.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	An up-to-date, data-driven school improvement plan focused on student performance is not in place.	

<b>Planning and Organization Standard 3</b> -Monitors implementation of the school improvement plan and makes adjustments as needed		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are continually monitored by administrators, the school leadership team, and teacher leaders to evaluate the impact on student performance.</p> <p>Ongoing adjustments are made based on various performance, process, and perception data.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are regularly monitored by administrators and the school leadership team to evaluate the impact on student performance.</p> <p>Adjustments are made to the plan, as needed, based on the analysis of data.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are occasionally monitored by administrators.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are rarely, if ever, monitored.	

## Effective Leadership Data

Planning and Organization Standard 4 -Monitors the use of available resources to support continuous improvement		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is consistently monitored.</p> <p>School schedules and processes are designed to make effective use of personnel, time, materials, and equipment.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is frequently monitored.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is inconsistently monitored.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is rarely, if ever, monitored.	

Planning and Organization Standard 5 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, policies, schedules, and procedures to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed with stakeholder input, effectively communicated, and consistently implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.</p> <p>These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are consistently reviewed and revised as needed.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed, communicated, and implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.</p> <p>These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are periodically reviewed and systematically revised as needed.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed but are not effectively communicated or are implemented inconsistently across the school.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>Rules, policies, or procedures are not developed, are poorly communicated, or are ineffectively implemented.</p> <p>In some cases, rules, policies, schedules, or procedures are out of date or have become barriers to student learning or staff effectiveness.</p>	



## Effective Leadership Data

<b>Planning and Organization Standard 6</b> -Uses protocols to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used extensively to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.</p> <p>A proactive maintenance process is in place, and repairs are completed in a satisfactory and timely manner, when needed.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.</p> <p>The school and campus are clean, well-maintained, inviting, and safe.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>Protocols are sometimes used to maintain the school campus and equipment.</p> <p>The school and campus are partially clean, maintained, and inviting, but some safety issues exist.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>Protocols do not exist or are rarely, if ever, used to maintain the school campus and equipment.</p> <p>The school and campus are not clean, maintained, or inviting, and safety issues exist.</p>	

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.3 Professional Capacity

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of professional capacity needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Professional Capacity webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Professional Capacity Data

Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.  Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving.	

Professional Learning Standard 1 -Aligns professional learning with needs identified through analysis of a variety of data		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Professional learning needs are identified and differentiated through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families).  Ongoing support is provided through differentiated professional learning.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Professional learning needs are identified through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families).	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Professional learning needs are identified using limited sources of data.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Professional learning needs are identified using little or no data.	

## Professional Capacity Data

Professional Learning Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of collaboration among administrators and staff to enhance individual and collective performance		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Administrators and staff, as a foundational practice, consistently collaborate to support leadership and personal accountability and to enhance individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback).  Teachers conduct action research and assume ownership of professional learning processes.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Administrators and staff routinely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback).	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Administrators and staff sometimes collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Administrators and staff rarely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.	

Professional Learning Standard 3 -Defines expectations for implementing professional learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both consistently define expectations for the implementation of professional learning, including details regarding the stages of implementation and how monitoring will occur as implementation progresses.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both regularly define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both occasionally define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both rarely, if ever, define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.	

## Professional Capacity Data

Professional Learning Standard 4 -Uses multiple professional learning designs to support the various learning needs of the staff		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Staff members actively participate in job-embedded professional learning that engages collaborative teams in a variety of appropriate learning designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks).</p> <p>Professional learning includes extensive follow-up with descriptive feedback and coaching.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Staff members actively participate in professional learning, most of which is job-embedded, which includes multiple designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem-solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks) to support their various learning needs.</p> <p>Professional learning includes follow-up with feedback and coaching.</p>	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some staff members are engaged in professional learning that makes use of more than one learning design to address their identified needs.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Staff members receive single, stand-alone professional learning events that are informational and mostly large-group presentation designs.	

Professional Learning Standard 5 -Allocates resources and establishes systems to support and sustain effective professional learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Extensive resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are allocated to support and sustain effective professional learning.</p> <p>Opportunities to practice skills, receive follow-up, feedback, and coaching are provided to support the effectiveness of professional learning.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Adequate resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are in place to support and sustain professional learning.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some resources and systems are allocated to support and sustain professional learning.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, resources and systems are provided to support and sustain professional learning.	

## Professional Capacity Data

Professional Learning Standard 6 -Monitors and evaluates the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and increases in student learning occurs extensively.  Evaluation results are used to identify and implement processes to extend student learning.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning occurs routinely.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs sporadically.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs rarely, if ever.	

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.4 Family and Community Engagement

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to family and community engagement. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Family and Community Engagement webinar](#) for additional information and guidance. Visit Georgia's Family Connection Partnership's [KIDS COUNT](#) for additional data.

#### Family and Community Engagement Data

Family and Community Engagement Standard 1 -Creates an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school		
1. Exemplary	The school has a well-established, inviting learning environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.  Numerous opportunities are given to family members to become actively engaged in school-related events and improvement efforts as participants, event managers, and workers.	
2. Operational	The school has created an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.	✓
3. Emerging	The school has made some progress toward creating an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.	
4. Not Evident	The school has not created an environment that welcomes, encourages, or connects family and community members to the school.	

Family and Community Engagement Standard 2 -Establishes structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders		
1. Exemplary	Extensive structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented.  Structures are continuously monitored for reliable and interactive communication.	
2. Operational	Most structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented.	✓
3. Emerging	Some structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.	
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.	

## Family and Community Engagement Data

<b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 3</b> -Establishes relationships and decision-making processes that build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A wide variety of relationships and collaborative decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services, post-secondary partnerships) are pervasive in promoting student success and well being.</p> <p>Expectations for family and community engagement are embedded in the culture and result in stakeholders being actively involved in decision-making.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Numerous relationships and decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services) effectively build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Limited relationships and decision-making processes have been initiated by the school to build capacity for family and community engagement.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Relationships and decision-making processes for families and the community are non-existent, or those that do exist contribute minimally to student success.	

<b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 4</b> -Communicates academic expectations and current student achievement status to families		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>The school staff provides families with ongoing, detailed academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols).</p> <p>Extensive communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, student-led parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>The school staff communicates academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols) throughout the year.</p> <p>Regular communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>The school staff communicates some academic expectations at the start of the year.</p> <p>Some communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>The school staff does little to inform families of academic expectations.</p> <p>Little, if any, communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.</p>	

## Family and Community Engagement Data

<b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 5</b> -Develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The school continually develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The school frequently develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The school occasionally develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The school seldom, if ever, develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.	

<b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 6</b> -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The school has a systematic process in place to connect families with an array of agencies and resources (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The school regularly connects families to agencies and resources in the community (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The school sometimes connects families to agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The school does little to connect families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.	



## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.5 Supportive Learning Environment

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to a supportive learning environment. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students). See the [Supportive Learning Environment webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Supportive Learning Environment Data

Instruction Standard 1 -Provides a supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.  Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms.	

Instruction Standard 2 -Creates an academically challenging learning environment		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	

## Supportive Learning Environment Data

<b>Instruction Standard 8</b> -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	

<b>School Culture Standard 1</b> -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, practices, and procedures to maintain a safe, orderly learning environment		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are proactively developed, communicated, and consistently implemented across the school.  These rules, practices, and procedures are continually monitored and revised as needed.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are developed, communicated, and implemented.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Rules, practices, and procedures are developed and communicated but are ineffective or inconsistently implemented across the school.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly, learning environment are not developed nor updated or are poorly communicated.	

## Supportive Learning Environment Data

<b>School Culture Standard 2</b> -Establishes a culture of trust and respect that promotes positive interactions and a sense of community		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Extensive evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A pervasive commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A sustained commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p>	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>Some evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A limited commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p>	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>Little or no evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>Unresolved conflicts interfere with a sense of community.</p>	

<b>School Culture Standard 3</b> -Establishes a culture that supports the college and career readiness of students		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Extensive evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.</p> <p>The school culture supports addressing individual achievement needs and strengths to prepare students for success.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Little or no evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.	

## Supportive Learning Environment Data

School Culture Standard 4 -Supports the personal growth and development of students		
1. Exemplary	The school staff consistently provides a comprehensive system of support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to maximize the personal growth and development of nearly all students.	
2. Operational	The school staff regularly provides support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to enhance the personal growth and development of students.	
3. Emerging	The school staff sporadically supports the personal growth and development of students.	✓
4. Not Evident	The school staff does little to support the personal growth and development of students.	

School Culture Standard 5 -Recognizes and celebrates achievements and accomplishments of students and staff		
1. Exemplary	<p>The school community consistently recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.</p> <p>The celebrations are publicized within the school and to the community and support the culture of the school.</p>	
2. Operational	The school community regularly recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.	
3. Emerging	The school community periodically recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students and/or staff.	✓
4. Not Evident	The school community rarely, if ever, recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students or staff.	

## Supportive Learning Environment Data

<b>Planning and Organization Standard 1</b> -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.</p> <p>The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.</p> <p>The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.	

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.6 Data Analysis Questions

Analyze the LEA's data and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of demographic and financial needs. Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students).

<p><b>What perception data did you use?</b> [examples: student perceptions about school climate issues (health survey, violence, prejudice, bullying, etc.); student/parent perceptions about the effectiveness of programs or interventions; student understanding of relationship of school to career or has an academic plan]</p>	<p>JCES used parent perception data from each school's Parent Satisfaction survey. Additionally, survey's were completed by students, parents, and staff to develop each school's Climate Star rating. Additionally, JCES used a GLISI team to develop a staff survey to address staff perception.</p>
<p><b>What does the perception data tell you?</b> (perception data can describe people's knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, competencies; perception data can also answer the question "What do people think they know, believe, or can do?")</p>	<p>Based on evidence, the students, parents, and staff are satisfied with student progress at Johnson County Elementary School. The Star Rating is currently a 4 Star Rating.</p>
<p><b>What process data did you use?</b> (examples: student participation in school activities, sports, clubs, arts; student participation in special programs such as peer mediation, counseling, skills conferences; parent/student participation in events such as college information meetings and parent workshops)</p>	<p>45 Day District Impact Review Sign in Sheets Meeting Agendas PBIS documentation</p>
<p><b>What does the process data tell you?</b> (process data describes the way programs are conducted; provides evidence of participant involvement in programs; answers the question "What did you do for whom?")</p>	<p>In reading, 71% of students were performing at a performance level of basic or below. Student performances have increased and now 51% of students are performing at basic or below. The adopted reading program has positively impacted learning with students reading ability according to DIBELS, Reading Inventory (RI), and Growth Measure assessment data. The number of students identified as below grade level based on Growth Measure data decreased from 46% to 28.5%. The number of students identified as "at-risk", based on iReady Math data decreased from 28% to 16%. iReady Math data reported that students on grade level (Tier 1) increased from 7% to 44%.</p>

What achievement data did you use?	GMAS HMH Into Reading Growth Measure RI
What does your achievement data tell you?	<p>ELA Data:</p> <p>According to the 2023 GMAs Preliminary Scores for English Language Arts, 77% of 3rd grade students are beginning or developing learners and 23% are proficient or distinguished learners. In 4th grade, 76% of students are beginning or developing learners, and 24% are proficient or distinguished learners. In 5th grade, 58% are beginning or developing learners, and 42% are proficient or distinguished learners.</p> <p>According to the 2022 GMAs Preliminary Scores for English Language Arts, 71% of 3rd grade students are beginning or developing learners and 29% are proficient or distinguished learners. In 4th grade, 64% of students are beginning or developing learners, and 36% are proficient or distinguished learners. In 5th grade, 70% are beginning or developing learners, and 30% are proficient or distinguished learners.</p> <p>According to 2021 GMAs English Language Arts results, 63% of 3rd grade students are beginning or developing learners and 37% are proficient or distinguished learners. In 4th grade, 67% of students are beginning or developing learners, and 33% are proficient or distinguished learners. In 5th grade, 64% of students are beginning or developing learners, and 36% are proficient or distinguished learners.</p> <p>According to 2019 GMAs English Language Arts results, 68% of 3rd grade students are beginning or developing learners and 32% are proficient or distinguished learners. In 4th grade, 74% of students are beginning or developing learners, and 25% are proficient or distinguished learners. In 5th grade, 72% of students are beginning or developing learners, and 28% are proficient or distinguished learners.</p> <p>According to the May 2023 HMH Reading Growth Measure data in grades 2nd-5th, 53.4% of students scored on or above grade level.</p> <p>2022 i-Ready Reading Data: According to the i-Ready reading diagnostic assessment, 50% of 392 students in grades Kindergarten through 5th are performing on grade level at the end of the 2022 school year. 25% of students are performing one year below grade level and 22% of students are performing two or more years below grade level.</p> <p>2021 i-Ready Reading Data: According to i-Ready reading diagnostic assessment, 56% of 359 students in grades Kindergarten through 5th are performing on grade level at the end of the 2021 school year. 25% of students are performing one year below grade level and 19% of students are performing two or more years below grade level.</p> <p>According to RI Data in May 2023 in Grades 2nd-5th 51% of students are reading at a Basic or Below Basic RI Lexile Level. 49% of students are reading at a Proficient or Advanced RI Lexile Level.</p> <p>According to RI Data in May 2022 in Grades 2nd-5th 58% of students are reading at a Basic or Below Basic RI Lexile Level. 42% of students are reading at a Proficient or Advanced RI Lexile Level.</p>

According to RI Data in May 2021 in Grades 2nd-5th 46% of students are reading at a Basic or Below Basic RI Lexile Level. 54% of students are reading at a Proficient or Advanced RI Lexile Level.

#### Math Data:

According to the 2023 GMAs Preliminary results for Mathematics, 55% of 3rd grade students are beginning or developing learners and 45% are proficient or distinguished learners. In 4th grade, 63% of students are beginning or developing learners, and 37% are proficient or distinguished learners. In 5th grade, 54% of students are beginning or developing learners, and 46% are proficient or distinguished learners.

According to the 2022 GMAs Preliminary results for Mathematics, 69% of 3rd grade students are beginning or developing learners and 31% are proficient or distinguished learners. In 4th grade, 57% of students are beginning or developing learners, and 43% are proficient or distinguished learners. In 5th grade, 63% of students are beginning or developing learners, and 37% are proficient or distinguished learners.

According to the 2021 GMAs Mathematics results, 63% of 3rd grade students are beginning or developing learners and 37% are proficient or distinguished learners. In 4th grade, 45% of students are beginning or developing learners, and 55% are proficient or distinguished learners. In 5th grade, 55% of students are beginning or developing learners, and 45% are proficient or distinguished learners.

According to the 2019 GMAs Mathematics results, 57% of 3rd grade students are beginning or developing learners and 42% are proficient or distinguished learners. In 4th grade, 44% of students are beginning or developing learners, and 55% are proficient or distinguished learners. In 5th grade, 66% of students are beginning or developing learners, and 34% are proficient or distinguished learners.

2022 i-Ready math diagnostic assessment reported 51% of students performing on grade level at the end of the 2022 school year. 36% of students are performing one year below grade level and 13% are performing two or three years below grade level.

2021 i-Ready math diagnostic assessment reported 57% of students performing on grade level at the end of the 2021 school year. 33% of students are performing one year below grade level and 11% are performing two or three years below grade level.



What demographic data did you use?	SPED, GIFTED EIP Free/Reduced Lunch student mobility rates, enrollment of subgroups
What does the demographic data tell you?	Demographic data showed that 100% of our student population is economically disadvantaged compared to the state average of 54.5%. Our SPED student population is 12.1% compared to the state average of 13.8%. Our student mobility rate is 10.8%. Our gifted population is 4.4%. 31.6% of our population is EIP.

### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.1 Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Read the trends and patterns summaries from each section of the data analysis process. Use the information in these summaries to complete 3.2 and 3.3. Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.2. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

##### Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

<b>Coherent Instructional:</b> Summarize the coherent instructional system trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?	Based on the evidence discussed in this section of the report, our school has a need for more emphasis to be placed on professional learning for higher quality of instruction, use of data to drive instruction, a deeper understanding of content standards, and student needs based on use of assessments.
<b>Effective Leadership:</b> Summarize the effective leadership trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?	Support the use of data driven decisions, support of teacher initiatives, quarterly data studies completed by administration to identify special instructional needs of students and teachers.
<b>Professional Capacity:</b> Summarize the professional capacity trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?	Through the study of our professional capacity trends and patterns in support of identifying the needs of students, teachers, and leaders, we realize we have continued areas of concern in spite of the growth that we have made.
<b>Family and Community Engagement:</b> Summarize the family and community engagement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?	In the area of family and community involvement, we have determined that there is limited family and community engagement. The trend shows that intentions are good, but often fall short. Much development is needed in this area. However, there has been improvement over the past few school years.

## Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

<b>Supportive Learning Environment:</b> Summarize the supportive learning environment trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?	<p>We feel that in this area, we have mixed results. To us, this indicates that we still have much need for improvement in this area.</p>
<b>Demographic and Financial:</b> Summarize the demographic and financial trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?	<p>We have lack of community and stakeholder support. We also experience minimal funding that has to be stretched to cover all areas of need of educating the whole child.</p>
<b>Student Achievement:</b> Summarize the student achievement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?	<p>Our trends show that we are serving our students with the greatest needs through interventions and enrichment opportunities, yet our student achievement has not met the growth expectations that we would like for them to meet.</p>

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

<b>Strengths</b>	<p>Strengths: (Co-Teaching) Johnson County School Sp. Ed. program increased its district support and monitoring with monthly face to face meetings. The district now uses GO-IEP. This electronic process supported students, teachers, and parents with GADOE recommended practices. Co-Teaching instruction has been a focal point of professional learning at the district level. Technology strategies was a focus of instruction at the elementary school level.</p>
------------------	---

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

<b>Challenges</b>	<p>Challenges: (Expectations &amp; Accommodations; Common Plan Time, Professional Learning, New Staff Members, Collaboration with Grade Level Teachers and Resource Teachers)</p> <p>Based on data our greatest challenge is closing the gap in reading and math for the SWD subgroups, we need to ramp up instruction that addresses where students are (skill set) while simultaneously addressing grade level standards. The CCRPI data and local assessment data (Growth Measure, RI, and DIBELS) also reflects these instructional concerns. SWDs are flagged in all content areas, including science.</p>
-------------------	---

### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.2 Identification and Prioritization of Overarching Needs

Use the results of 3.1 to identify the overarching needs of the LEA. Determine the priority order of the identified needs based on data, team member and stakeholder knowledge, and answers to questions in the table below. Be sure to address the major program challenges identified in 3.1. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

##### Overarching Need # 1

Overarching Need	Student Achievement Literacy
How severe is the need?	High
Is the need trending better or worse over time?	Better
Can Root Causes be Identified?	Yes
Priority Order	1

Additional Considerations	Achievement Gap, Sub-Groups, Student Growth
---------------------------	---

##### Overarching Need # 2

Overarching Need	Professional Capacity
How severe is the need?	High
Is the need trending better or worse over time?	Better
Can Root Causes be Identified?	Yes
Priority Order	3

Additional Considerations	Curriculum, Evidence Based Practices, Professional Learning, DOK, Assessments
---------------------------	---

##### Overarching Need # 3

Overarching Need	Social Emotional Learning (SEL)
How severe is the need?	High
Is the need trending better or worse over time?	Unknown
Can Root Causes be Identified?	Yes

Overarching Need # 3

Priority Order	2
Additional Considerations	Attendance, MTSS/PBIS Data, Student Referrals

### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.3 Root Cause Analysis

Select the top 2-4 overarching needs from 3.2. Conduct a separate root cause analysis (RCA) for each need. Any RCA tools and resources can be used, but suggestions are available as part of the [Identifying Need webinar](#). After describing the RCA process, complete a table for each selected overarching need.

##### Overarching Need - Student Achievement Literacy

##### Root Cause # 1

Root Causes to be Addressed	ELA/Writing; Assessment;
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program

Additional Responses	
----------------------	--

##### Root Cause # 2

Root Causes to be Addressed	SWD's; Supports; Attendance
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	No
This is something we can affect	No
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program

Additional Responses	
----------------------	--

**Overarching Need - Professional Capacity****Root Cause # 1**

Root Causes to be Addressed	Use of Evidence Based Instructional Practices; Professional Learning; Instructional Coaches
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program

Additional Responses	
----------------------	--

**Overarching Need - Social Emotional Learning (SEL)****Root Cause # 1**

Root Causes to be Addressed	Student Attendance, Student Referrals, SEL Practices
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	No
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program

Additional Responses	
----------------------	--





# School Improvement Plan 2023 - 2024



**Johnson County  
Johnson County Elementary School**

## SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

## 1 General Improvement Plan Information

## General Improvement Plan Information

District	Johnson County
School Name	Johnson County Elementary School
Team Lead	Charles Howard
Federal Funding Options to Be Employed (SWP Schools) in this Plan (Select all that apply)	Traditional funding (Federal funds budgeted separately)

Factors(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty (Select all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Free/Reduced meal application
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Community Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification ONLY
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (if selected, please describe below)

## 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

## 2.1 Overarching Need # 1

## Overarching Need

Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2	Student Achievement Literacy
Root Cause # 1	ELA/Writing; Assessment;
Root Cause # 2	SWD's; Supports; Attendance
Goal	Goal 1: Increase the percentage of students scoring proficient and above as measured by the Georgia Milestones End of Grade Assessment in ELA by 3%.

## Action Step # 1

Action Step	Monitor ELA/Writing Plans & Implementation of plans.
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A
Systems	Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity
Method for Monitoring Implementation	Lesson Plan Feedback, Admin/IC Visibility, Peer Observations(PODs), Coaches Cycle
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	Student milestone EOG data will increase by 3% in ELA.
Position/Role Responsible	Building Admin, ICs
Timeline for Implementation	Weekly

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	Oconee RESA
--	-------------

## Action Step # 2

Action Step	Assessment - Use diagnostic, formative, and summative assessments to drive instruction (DOK)
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A
Systems	Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity
Method for Monitoring Implementation	Building Admin/IC visibility, Weekly admin/IC collaborations, Data/Assessment Analysis PLs, DOK PL
Method for Monitoring Effectiveness	Student milestone EOG data will increase by 3% in ELA.
Position/Role Responsible	Building Admin/ICs
Timeline for Implementation	Weekly

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	Oconee RESA
--	-------------

## Action Step # 3

Action Step	Updating curriculum maps
Funding Sources	N/A
Subgroups	N/A
Systems	Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity
Method for Monitoring Implementation	Pacing Calendars, Standards Checklists, Curriculum Maps (Digital)